

April 9, 2018

The following questions are presented to inform discussion at the April 9 ICARP Technical Advisory Council's Financing Studies Workgroup Meeting

CHALLENGES

- Is paying for resilience and adaptation projects fundamentally different from other projects?
- Who is best positioned to make investments?
 - When/where should the private sector (businesses and individuals) take the lead?
 - When/where should government take the lead?
- Which types of resilience and adaptation projects are hardest to fund?
 - Within a project, which stages of a project are hardest to fund/finance?
- Beyond legal constraints, what are issues people see as a challenge in funding resilience projects? (e.g. smaller cities may face different challenges compared to larger cities)

TOOLS

- How can tools be matched against needs in light of legal constraints in California?
 - How does this vary across the case study areas?
- How can resilience/adaptation measures be incorporated into projects that have already received funding or that receive traditional funding sources (e.g. Community Development Block Grants)?

ACTORS

- **COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT**
 - Which stages of a project are ripe for community engagement?
 - Are your community's concerns reflected in your funding priorities?
 - Have existing grants and programs reflected the diversity of communities' concerns across the state?
- **PUBLIC + PRIVATE SECTOR**
 - How can the public sector anticipate an increasing private sector appetite for knowledge about climate risk across sectors?
 - Have you partnered across sectors for resilience projects and, if so, how was the partnership structured?
 - What challenges had to be overcome for the partnership?