NOTICE OF PREPARATION (NOP)
OF A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE
OAKLAND WATERFRONT BALLPARK DISTRICT PROJECT

The City of Oakland’s Bureau of Planning is preparing an Environmental Impact Report (“EIR”) for the Oakland Waterfront Ballpark District Project (“Proposed Project”) at Howard Terminal. The City is requesting comments on the scope and content of the EIR. A description of the Proposed Project and its location, together with a summary of the probable environmental effects that will be addressed in the EIR are included herein. Pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines §15063(a), the City has not prepared an Initial Study.

The EIR for the Proposed Project is being prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code §§21000 et. seq.) and the State CEQA Guidelines (Guidelines) (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, §§15000 et. seq.). The EIR for the Proposed Project is also being prepared under the new California Assembly Bill 734 judicial streamlining legislation (California Environmental Quality Act: Oakland Sports and Mixed-Use Project) that added new provisions to CEQA as Public Resources Code § 21168.6.7 for the Proposed Project. The City of Oakland is the public agency that would consider approval of an amendment to the Oakland General Plan required for the Proposed Project, and as such, it is the Lead Agency for the Proposed Project. Pursuant to Guidelines §15082(a), upon deciding to prepare an EIR, the City as lead agency must issue a Notice of Preparation (NOP) to inform the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research, trustee and responsible agencies, and the public of that decision.

The purpose of the NOP is to provide information describing the project and its potential environmental effects to those who may wish to comment regarding the scope and content of the information to be included in the EIR. Guideline §15082(b) states: "... [E]ach responsible and trustee agency and the Office of Planning and Research shall provide the lead agency with specific detail about the scope and content of the environmental information related to the responsible or trustee agency's area of statutory responsibility that must be included in the draft EIR. The response at a minimum shall identify: (A) The significant environmental issues and reasonable alternatives and mitigation measures that the responsible or trustee agency, or the Office of Planning and Research, will need to have explored in the Draft EIR; and (B) Whether the agency will be a responsible agency or trustee agency for the project." This notice is being sent to responsible or trustee agencies and other interested parties. Responsible and trustee agencies are those public agencies, besides the City of Oakland, that have a role in considering approval and/or carrying out the project. The City encourages responsible and trustee agencies and the Office of Planning and Research to provide this information to the City, so that the City can ensure that the Draft EIR meets the needs of those agencies. Once the Draft EIR is published, it will be sent to all responsible or trustee agencies and to others who respond to this NOP or who otherwise indicate that they would like to receive a copy. The Draft EIR will also be available for review at the City of Oakland at the address identified immediately below.

SUBMITTING COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO THIS NOP: The City encourages comments to be submitted electronically via the following link: http://comment-tracker.esassoc.com/tracker/oaklandsportseir/. Comments that address the scope of the Draft EIR may also be directed in writing to: Peterson Vollmann, Planner IV, City of Oakland Bureau of Planning, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 2214, Oakland, CA 94612, by hand
delivery or mail, by email to PVollmann@oaklandca.gov, or by fax to (510) 238-4730. Mr. Vollmann may be reached by phone at (510) 238-6167. Time limits mandated by State law require that the City must receive comments within 30 days after publication of this notice; however, the City will receive comments through January 7, 2019, 38 days after publication of this notice. Responses to the NOP must be received via the above web address, mailing or e-mail address or fax by 5:00 p.m. on Monday, January 7, 2019. Please reference Case File Number ER18-016 in all correspondence. Comments and suggestions as to the appropriate scope of analysis in the EIR are invited from all interested parties and will be received at the EIR Scoping Meetings to be held before the City Planning Commission, as noticed below.

Commenters should focus comments on potential impacts of the Proposed Project on the physical environment. Commenters are encouraged to identify ways that potential adverse effects resulting from the Proposed Project might be minimized and to identify reasonable alternatives and mitigation measures to the Proposed Project.

EIR SCOPING MEETINGS:

The City of Oakland Planning Commission will conduct a public scoping meeting on the EIR for the Oakland Waterfront Ballpark District Project on Wednesday December 19, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers in Oakland City Hall, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA.

The City of Oakland Landmarks Preservation Advisory Board will conduct a public scoping meeting on the historic and cultural resource aspects of the Proposed Project on Monday December 17, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, Oakland City Hall, 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA.

PROJECT TITLE: Oakland Waterfront Ballpark District Project (Case File No. ER18-016)

PROJECT LOCATION: Approximately 55 acres that comprises the Charles P. Howard Terminal and adjacent parcels, located at the Port of Oakland along the Inner Harbor of the Oakland-Alameda Estuary (See Figure 1, Site Location). The site is bound generally by the Oakland Estuary Middle Harbor on the south; Jack London Square on the east; Union Pacific railroad tracks and the Embarcadero on the north; and the heavy metal recycling center, Schnitzer Steel, on the west (see Figure 2, Site Boundary and Context).

PROJECT SPONSOR: Oakland Athletics Investment Group, LLC d/b/a The Oakland Athletics

PROJECT SITE OWNERS: City of Oakland acting by and through the Port of Oakland, Dynegy Oakland, LLC, and PG&E

EXISTING CONDITIONS: Maritime support uses for short term tenants. Existing uses and activities include but are not limited to: truck parking, loaded and empty container storage and staging, and longshore training facilities. The Project Site was previously used as a maritime container terminal until 2014. Howard Terminal is designated as Berths 67 through 69 within the Port of Oakland. Berths 67 and 68 were constructed in the early 1980’s, and Berth 69 was constructed in the mid 1990’s. The site includes a marginal wharf structure approximately 75’ wide. A below grade rock dike sits adjacent to the Oakland Inner Harbor as the site’s shoreline. The remaining site is
undertstood to be on grade pavement. Four cranes are located on Howard Terminal that were used to load/unload ships when the area was an active shipping facility. Howard Terminal is currently used by short term tenants.

Existing regional access to the Project Site exists via both Interstate 880 and Interstate 980, with on-ramps to each within one mile of the Project Site. The Project Site is located about one mile, a 20- to 25-minute walk, from three BART stations including West Oakland, 12th Street Downtown, and Lake Merritt. Railroad tracks are adjacent to the north boundary of the Project Site and there are several at-grade crossings of the railroad tracks nearby, including two directly into the Project Site. There is an Amtrak / Capital Corridor train station about one-half mile from the Project Site, transit bus service is within one-quarter mile, and the Jack London Ferry Terminal is immediately adjacent to the east of the Project Site.

The City of Oakland, acting by and through the City Council, controls the General Plan designation of the Project Site, which currently has a land use designation of “General Industrial” and the “Industrial General (IG)” zoning designation. In addition, areas of Howard Terminal fronting the Oakland Estuary (to the south) are designated within the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) jurisdiction and are State Public Trust lands.

The Project Site is included in the list of Hazardous Waste and Substances sites in the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) EnviroStor database, one of the lists meeting the “Cortese List” requirements (http://www.calepa.ca.gov/sitecleanup/corteselist/, accessed October 2018).

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** The Project Sponsor proposes to develop the Howard Terminal property with the following key initial plan elements:

- Demolish existing buildings on the Project Site, except the existing power plant and the existing container cranes, which may be retained;
- Address any hazardous materials that may be present on the Project Site;
- Construct:
  - A new privately funded, open-air, approximately 35,000 person capacity Major League Baseball park;
  - Up to 4,000 residential units of varying affordability and types
  - Approximately 2.27 million square feet of adjacent mixed use development, including retail, commercial, office, cultural, entertainment, flex light industrial/manufacturing, and recreational uses;
  - A performance venue with a capacity of up to 3,500 individuals;
  - A 300 to 400-room hotel;
  - New and expanded utility infrastructure; and
  - New signage and lighting;
- Construct/provide improved access from the surrounding neighborhood and regional transportation networks, which could include, but may not be limited to:
  - an expanded shuttle and/or bus service (“rubber-tire trams”); and
o a new network of public streets and sidewalks that provide connectivity to and through the Project Site, and pathways that lead directly to the waterfront and related amenities.

- Construct/provide new waterfront public access, enhanced water views, and on-site open space;
- Comply with AB 734 regarding implementation of sustainability measures, development of a LEED Gold ballpark, and no net increase of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions; and
- Phase development of the Proposed Project, with a target completion date of Spring 2023 for construction of Phase 1, including the ballpark, associated infrastructure, and potentially some ancillary development.

The Proposed Project may also consider one or more variants or options, potentially including but not limited to:

- New elevated pedestrian connections over the railroad tracks and improvements to existing at-grade crossings;
- An aerial tram or gondola above Washington Street extending from downtown Oakland near 12th Street BART to Jack London Square;
- Development of a portion of an existing power plant and removal of adjacent tanks;
- Altered edge configuration of the existing wharf to enhance public views and provide additional boat access/active water uses; and/or
- Extension of Embarcadero West to Middle Harbor Road and a new ramp from the existing Adeline Street overpass for new direct access to the Project Site.

ANTICIPATED ENTITLEMENTS AND APPROVALS: Discretionary approvals required for development of the Proposed Project are anticipated to include, but may not be limited to, the following:

- City Council approval of amendments to the General Plan and Planning Code after recommendation by the Planning Commission;
- Board of Port Commissioners approval of project transactional documents (e.g. leases and conveyance agreements);
- All necessary development permits and entitlements from the City & the Port;
- Port and State Lands Commission approval of a Trust Settlement and Exchange Agreement addressing public trust issues affecting the Project Site; and
- Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) Major Permit and Amendment to the BCDC and Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) Seaport Plan.

PROBABLE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS AND PROPOSED SCOPE OF THE EIR: The EIR will analyze and disclose the direct and indirect potentially significant impacts that would result from construction and operation of the Proposed Project under Existing Plus Project and Cumulative conditions (Guidelines §§15126.2, 15130), in addition to other analysis scenarios that may be appropriate for the EIR. Where significant impacts are identified, the EIR will describe potentially feasible mitigation measures that could minimize significant adverse impacts (Guidelines §15126.4). It is anticipated that the Proposed Project may have environmental impacts on aesthetics, air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, hazards, land use, noise and vibration, population and housing, public services, public utilities, transportation and circulation, hydrology and water quality, and growth inducement. It is anticipated that the Proposed Project would have no impact or less-than-significant impacts on
agricultural and forestry resources. Nevertheless, the EIR will evaluate the full range of environmental issues contemplated for consideration under CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines, including but not limited to the following:

- Aesthetics, Shadow and Wind (including Light, and Glare)
- Agricultural and Forestry Resources
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cultural and Historic Resources (including Tribal Cultural Resources)
- Geology and Soils (including Geological and Seismic Hazards)
- Greenhouse Gas Emissions /Global Climate Change
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials

- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use and Planning
- Mineral Resources
- Noise and Vibration
- Population and Housing (including Growth Inducement)
- Public Services (including Police Services, Fire Protection Services, Parks and Schools);
- Recreation
- Transportation and Circulation
- Public Utilities and Service Systems (including Energy Demand and Conservation)

The Draft EIR will evaluate cumulative impacts of the Proposed Project, including the effects of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable projects in the vicinity (Guidelines §15130).

The Draft EIR will also identify and examine a range of reasonable alternatives to the Proposed Project, including, but not limited to, a No Project Alternative (Guidelines §15126.6) and an alternative site (e.g. the Oakland Coliseum site).

November 30, 2018
Case File Number: **ER18-016**

Ed Manasse, Bureau of Planning
Environmental Review Officer
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